SRAFederation Bulletin

81

Social Revolutionary Anarchist Federation

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Dear comdares,

We, the members of the Impossible Books Collective, are hosting a May Day gathering of anarchists at the Autonomy Center, 3951 N. Ashland, on the weekend of April 30/May 1. We feel it would be an opportunity to meet with comrades throughout the region, to renew old contacts and make new ones. It would also be a good chance for everyone to visit our new center and our growing bookstore. We have been open only a few months and we would like to hear the views, ideas and experiences of others as well as sharing our own.

Most importantly, we can come together and celebrate May Day in Chicago, the birthplace of this international holiday which is all but ignored in this country. We have preliminary plans for an all day meeting on Saturday April 30. Depending on the expected turnout, we may rent a larger place than our center. So please fill out the form below so we can get some idea of how many people to expect.

On May Day, we plan on having a rally and march. If there seems enough support, we will have our own demo, if not, we will probably join another non-sectarian march. May Day will also see an all day Social at the Autonomy Center.

Since several of our comrades are undergoing one form of housing problem or another, local anarchists can only crash 10-15 people. People who are willing to rough it a little, can stay at the Center, another 10 or so people with sleeping bags could stay there.

So we are asking people to respond to this invitation by April 4, two weeks from now. We will be holding a meeting on April 7 to finalize everything and putting out a poster to advertise these events that week.

If you can make it, bring your flags, banners, posters, leaflets etc. as well as any ideas about what should be discussed at the meeting on Sat. If you can't make, drop us a line anyway. Let us know what you have been up to. If you desire, your communications will be shared with the other anarchists attending.

For the Revolution,

Impossible Books Collective

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

Dear Planned Levellers,

Re Pat Murtagh's espousal of "patient organizing": in the last analysis, who else would submit to being organized?

ANARCHO-CYNICALISTS announce their affiliation with SRAF and certify their compliance with all applicable federative regulations. A-C is an ad hoc consortium, an infinity group, consisting of THE LAST INTERNATIONAL/WORKERS AGAINST WORK and a deviationist discontendency of ANOMIE. Retracting earlier, ill-considered attacks on anarcho-organizers, we propose to consummate their project by orgarizing so energetically that, as in our own case, the organizations outnumber the organized.

Pat "Answer" Murtagh has his little fun with V.T. "General" Lee, but Lee comes off as the cleaner of the two. Maybe, as Murtagh says, Lee "knows nothing about the incident" at Litton. Neither does Murtagh, but that didn't stop him from fabricating fantastic accusations of "provocateur" — a ploy not only dishonest but cowardly and low, since the bombers can't come forward to refute the smear.

Personally I doubt the North American anarchists are worth the trouble of infiltrating yet. But if there is an agent in our midst, he'd do just what Murtagh does — promote paranoia with base and baseless accusations against anybody who actually does something instead of just blathering at other ideologues.

There's room for criticism of Direct Action, though I'm unimpressed with what I've seen to date. But there's no excuse for Stalin-school defamation of anybody who opts for direct action over "patient organizing" as a police agent. I call upon Bob Shea, Uke, and other critics of Direct Action to repudiate Murtagh's sleazy slanders until such time as he backs them up.

Murtagh's substantive preachments on other subjects are likewise redolent, if not of bad faith, then certainly of authoritarian moralism. His anachronistic hippie-baiting is tiresome and simply situates him on the wrong side of a spent historical controversy. I thought I was conversant with the English-language literature on Spain but I don't recognize his approving references to CNT-FAI counter-terrorist death squads. It's true the CNT-FAI carried out "a well thought out ethical set of actions" by persecuting prostitutes, homosexuals and vagabonds in Barcelona, but some people aren't sure it wasn't the CNT-FAI who "defamed anarchism and the Spanish Revolution" by these non-random acts of stupid violence.

Murtagh relies on Christopher "Bring Back the" Lasch to impugn the counter-culture. While Lasch makes telling points against Re-Generationism and its ideological antecedents, he does so in the context of a commitment to an austere and disciplined "democratic" state socialism underpinned by unabashedly puritanical and patriarchal values. How much of this apparently integral system is Murtagh in favor of?

The trouble with Murtagh is not that he rejects "the" counter culture (whose present state is dismal enough) but rather that he'd resent any counter-culture which slighted his own political obsessions in favor of changing everyday life. Neither hippies nor punks qualify for the indulgence lavished by Murtagh on his Quaker/liberal/Marxist political cronies. But I think those who take their own lives for their point of departure, for all their folly in joining any prefab oppositional "scene," come closer to a practical understanding of their situation than Murtagh's left-moralist politicos.

Translexuals & Caustic-Accountants,

This month's inspirational message is from Roberto Michels: "Who says organization, says oligarchy."

But this postscript has another purpose. A mole, "Cut Throat," sends the secret minutes of the Jan. 12 meeting of the "Don't call us, we'll call you" ANARCHOS INSTITUTE. It seems that an Anargate scandal is upon us:

According to these Protocols, AI has been keeping its money in accounts held by the anarchist (aside from forays into electoral politics and Quebecois nationalism) BLACK ROSE BOOKS, whose methods received some critical scrutiny from the FIFTH ESTATE a few years back. It seems BRB has spent $2,394 of AI's money on its own "expenses." The "discrepancy between the figure provided by Dimitri Roussopoulos as the balance in the account and the actual figure" stemmed from omission of the exchange on the U.S. currency held.

"The members present" — perhaps even our own beloved STEVE ELLAMS, named AI's "titular secretary" (?) — "expressed their shock and outrage at this gross breach of trust," and an ultimatum was sent to Lucia Kowaluk of BRB. However, "fears were expressed that the premature revelation of R's misconduct might have grave effects on the future of the Institute," and though others objected that a cover-up would be even worse, "it was felt that the Institute's funds should be fully recovered before the matter is made public. It was generally agreed that the Anarchos membership not be informed of the situation at the present time."

For a S.A.S.E. (free to Canadian inquirers) I'll send a poor copy of the above-quoted minutes to whomever.

Steve Ellams, champion of organization (and of AI exclusivity) will, I trust, drop us a line to explain why even the elite academics admitted to the AI (sheep)fold cannot be informed of the ripping-off of their own money. So much for mandated and revocable delegation, "opening the books," and other councilist and syndicalist shibboleths . . . Who says organization, says oligarchy. And we know who that is.

Your Muck-Raconteur,

Bob Black
2000 Center St. #1314
Berkeley, CA. 94704 (U.S.A.)

Fellow SRAFeds: MEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTES

First, a final comment on the whole business of Joffre. Though in conscience I cannot support the expulsion of any party from a free forum, I am extremely glad to see this decision arrived at through consensual discussions in the Bulletin and a polling of member groups. Let's hope this becomes the model for future decisions of SRAF--though perhaps with a bit less bickering next time.

KAREN: What of violence vs. violence? Prove the first violence, and then the second might be justified--though not moral. But who does pornography hurt? I don't believe that all porn hurts all women--though there have been some studies showing that pornography increases tolerance to the idea of rape, I am not aware of any purporting to show that porn causes rape. If any of the Wimmin's Fire Brigade can show how they were hurt by Red Hot Video's wares, they are entitled to some sort of restitution--otherwise, they are liable to make the restitutoin for their firebombing, which demonstrably harmed someone else by infringing on their making a living.

BILL & CLAIRE: Gee, I thought there was room in the anarchist movement for people to have fun. Not all hippies think the Sex Pistols lyrics are the holy writ of the Lord. I think laying down rules that the Movement is not supposed to be for fun is quite as likely to alienate people as is the buffoonery you condemn.

BRAIN: I fail to see what the Tylenol poisonings have to do with the "fraud of centralized production". After all, a locally-made product could just as well have been poisoned in the same way. Or are we all supposed to brew our own Tylenol at home in the bathtub?

A.G.: Everyone talks about the in-fighting in the anarchist movement, but no one does anything about it. In part, this is probably because we've all put a lot of energy into our ideas and systems, and they thus become "intellectual turf" to be guarded just as fiercely as a junkyard dog stands over his bone.

NOTES: I saw that issue of Emancipation with the rioters' notes on the Anti-Klan rioting, and was damned glad the story hadn't appeared in Newsweek. It seemed calculated to portray anarchists as little boys who cream in their jeans when they throw a brick through a window, any window. Most papers couldn't find a more negative protrayal of the movement if they tried.

CHRIS: A re-drafting of the Declaration? Did you have anything specific in mind? This is the sort of thing that I would think could be profitably handled by proposals & discussions in the Bulletin.

PAT: In the Cuban revolution, the slower-marching of the guerillas were organized into their own brigades where they could keep up & not hold back the quicker ones. Maybe the anarchist movement needs to encourage the space cases to form their own groups & work at their own speed without bothering the serious types.

Meanwhile, outside of our safe little pages here the world continues to deteriorate. The U.S. government has launched another assault on the few rights Americans take for granted with the Solomon amendment, requiring all male students to attest to their registration for the draft, and the fifth amendment be damned. I t also sets a dangerous precedent for the centralization of files from different parts of the government. But I guess you folks know all that.

Hail Eris, For the Jerry Cornelius Cabal, Ukelele the Short

CLEVELAND, OHIO

The following open letter is in reference to "Red Hot: Whodunnit?" and "Porn Fight Catches Fire" in Open Road, Spring 1983. The address for Open Road is P.O. Box 6135, Station G, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6R 4G5.

NOTE: The term "pornography" is derogatory. It implies wrongdoing and literally connotes that only women who are "harlots" or "whores" cause sexual excitment or enjoy sexual intercourse.

ANTI-SEX AUTHORITARIANS ATTACK "PORN": ANARCHISTS STRUGGLE FOR SEXUAL FREEDOM

We anarchist communists are disgusted and appalled by numerous anti-erotica articles which have appeared in Open Road and elsewhere. "Hard and soft pornography" do not necessarily entail violence- the "violence of rape, battering, racism, nuclear insanity" or any other form of violence.

"Pornography" per se does not "teach men to equate sexuality with violence" because not all "pornography" entails violence. "Pornography" is not "hate propaganda" because not all "pornography" entails hatred. Eroticism, and not violence or degradation or hatred, is the only inherent characteristic of "both hard and soft pornography."

The Wimmen's Fire Brigade and other "feminist" fascists who protest "pornography" per se in actuality oppose erotica, and in so doing effectively deny human sexuality. This denial is perhaps the most pernicious form of totalitarian repression. The struggle for universal sexual freedom ought to be a top priority among anti-authoritarians.

The assertion that all "pornography" entails violence against women is particularly absurd. What about media produced entirely by men and distributed among men only; media which depicts male homosexuality but no violence, degradation, hatred, or women? What about erotica produced by women for women?

If the "anti-porn" crusaders really oppose violence and not eroticism or nudity per se, they would always, without exception, distinguish between media which depicts violence and degradation and that which does not. They would not indiscriminately destroy media which depicts healthy human sexuality.

We are engaged in the struggle to eliminate police and government- any and all authoritarian, unequal relationships, including those depicted in some, but not all, present-day erotica. The "bondage" genre, for example, ought to be eradicated.

We want to preserve and promote media which depicts consensual, equal, cooperative, mutually affirmative and loving relationships among people regardless of gender, age, race and so on. We urge anti-authoritarians to repudiate the puritan "anti-porn" movement and struggle for sexual freedom.

- AUTONOMOUS ACTION:

Bisexual Liberation Task Force

GLENWOOD, ILLINOIS

Dear SRAFriends,

Several issues ago when the editors of the Bulletin cut part of one of Joffre's letters, I objected and called it censorship. Now I want to apologize for using that term. Obviously, it is an editor's privilege to decide what to print and what not to print. Exercising that privilege is not censorship.

I still think that in 99.99 percent of cases the SRAFeds should stick to the policy of printing every original letter they get. However, the continued publication of letters with an increasingly anti-Jewish tone appears to be doing SRAF severe damage. I don't believe one is obligated to follow any principle to the point of self-destruction.

Joffre is an old and good friend. He has taught me more about anarchism than just about any person I can think of. What he has to say on many issues seems intelligent and right to me. I think that many of the attacks on his recent writings have shown a great lack of humanity and compassion and no respect for the decades of Joffre's life that he has dedicated to anarchism.

Even though I do accept the SRAFeds' decision, I'm worried about the way it was made. Specifically, I am bothered by: 1) the editors' devising a procedure for deciding this question on their own, creating a "constitution" for SRAF by fiat, as it were; 2) deciding that individual members should have no voice in this process and that only groups were worthy to be consulted; 3) treating the positive response of only five SRAF groups as a mandate for excluding Joffre's letters, when fourteen others abstained and one opposed. Such a far-reaching decision should have more support than that.

I really would have been more comfortable if the editors had not gone through the motions of consulting the membership but had gone ahead with the decision on their own responsibility.

I would suggest that the SRAFeds should at least make the gesture of offering to publish Joffre's writings when they do not express anti-Jewish attitudes. If he chose to write about other issues I believe he could make an important contribution to SRAF.

Despite my criticisms, I am sure that the decision not to print Joffre's letters occasioned a great deal of thought and pain for the editors and was made in good faith.

Bob Shea

Bob Shea

MONTREAL, QUEBEC

Dear SRAF,

The question that Karen of Vancouver asks in SRAF Bull. #80 is really, in essence, quite simple: are you for or against the theory and practice of the Anti-Pornography Movement (APM)? If you are for and believe literally that "Pornography is the Theory -- Rape is the Practice," you will probably agree that anything that serves to suppress pornography up to (and perhaps beyond) firebombing porno stores is perfectly OK. If you find this kind of sloganeering misleading and simplistic, obscuring more than it reveals, you would probably disagree with the rationale offered by the Wimmin's Fire Brigade to explain the actions. I am assuming that no-one in either of these camps would shed any tears over the trashing of a capitalist enterprise and so will leave the agonizing over the issue of violence/non-violence to the liberals.

But, in my opinion, Anarchists in general have been far to uncritical of the APM and too willing to accept in toto its presumptions and positions. I do not, for example, accept the basic premises of the pornography vs. erotica debate. If you believe that the suppression of public sexuality, however "perverted," in the name of something called "erotica" will lead inevitably to a new non-sexist sexuality then I have a great line about the "withering away" of the State that you're just gonna love. To me, pornography is simply material designed explicitly to arouse sexual feelings in the observer/reader, and this can either be done badly or it can be done well. If what we want is well done (i.e. non-sexist) porno-erotica then it seems clear to me that the route of repression of porno will be the one least likely to produce the results we desire.

In his essay Pornography and the Sexual Revolution Paul Goodman summed up the dillemma well: "When excellent human power is inhibited and condemned, it will re-appear ugly and dangerous. The censorious attitude towards the magazines and pictures is part of the general censorious attitude that hampers ordinary sexuality and thereby heightens the need for satisfaction by means of the magazines and pictures ... the attempt (to eliminate pornography) must lead to more virulent expressions, e.g. still less desirable pornography."

Goodman's basic point, that repression never solves anything, should have had Anarchists on their guard against the APM from the beginning as it is also a basic tenet of Anarchism. If we are to have anything to do with law and sexuality it should be from the standpoint of pushing for the repeal of all obscenity laws -- and not pushing for de facto censorship laws. This position is usually rejected pretty sharply by APM activists. Susan G. Cole, for example, in the current issue of FUSE magazine declares: "I think saying that we should eliminate all of our obscenity laws (emphasis added) is an irresponsible position to take. It's usually the position taken by white male artists. They are usually the ones who complain about the loss of their civil rights when they can't see 8 seconds of The Tin Drum or when they can't put anything up on the wall and call it art." I don't know about the rest of you folks but this sort of rhetoric sets the alarm bells ringing in my head conjuring up everything from "feminist realism" in the arts to storm trooper tactics against those who disagree.

This attitude is all-pervasive among APM militants and even extends to feminists who have the temerity to break the united front against porn (such as the pro S/M lesbians). Thankfully, however, there are those who are coming out against the authoritarianism and anti-sexuality of the APM. Camilla Decarnin, a feminist writing in the current issue of Kick It Over, states that "(her) grimmest expectation is that 20 years from now we will all be reading in Ms. how the FBI infiltrated and subverted the women's movement through the porn issue. And

on the Pornography vs. erotica debate: "The 'erotica' cop-out I can't accept: a fuck book is a fuck book. An artificial name division tries to set up a qualitative difference between being aroused and being aroused, rather than facing the plain tedious and difficult fact that the problem, as always, is sexism. Not pornography, sexism in pornography. Let's say that the point at which they seperate sex from sexism is different from the point at which I do so."

I'd also like to add a personal encounter I had with the APM as a further illustration of the basic distaste I have for their politics. Among other things I am a member of the Alternative Bookstore collective, an Anarchist bookstore here in Montreal. We recently started stocking several punk fanzines one of which had a back cover that could have been considered sexist. We had had problems within the collective with "unilateral censorship" and so had established a policy whenever an objection was raised over a title in stock (one member objected to this particular item) we would leave the item on the shelves and discuss the objection at our next collective meeting.

Before that meeting two members of our local APM came into the store, whether by chance or design is still unclear, and seized all the remaining copies of the fanzine. The staff person at the time attempted to explain our policy to little avail but did manage to convince them to come to the collective meeting scheduled for the next day. I will not attempt to condense a long and wide-ranging discussion but I will say that I found the almost smirking self-righteousness of one of the APM members to be more than a little disturbing especially in light of his declared status as an "anti-authoritarian." They both seemed incapable of respecting a position different from their own (anything less than total and enthusiastic agreement was unacceptable) and they ruled out of court any mention of context (the rest of the fanzine was generally quite good politically). Their contempt for us and any decision we might come to independent of their own was made quite clear early on in the discussion when they stated that no matter what decision we came to we were'nt going to get the material back.

Shortly after the discussion started to repeat itself we held a straw vote within the collective on removing the item. The result: 4 for, 1 against and 1 abstention. The dissenting member decided not to block consensus while registering strong disapproval of the politics behind the decision.

A short letter cannot hope to adequately deal with this topic but there is good source material from outside the APM for those interested. Highly reccomended are: the "Freedom, Sex & Power" issue of FUSE magazine (379 Adelaide St. W., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5V 1S5); the Sex and Peace issue of Kick It Over (P.O. Box 5811, Station A, Toronto, Ontario, M5W 1P2; and Women, Sex and Pornography by Beatrice Faust (Pelican Books, 1982).

TRACY HARMS: I'm glad someone took the trouble to debunk B. Milnes "Social Hygiene" clap-trap. I'll only add that Milnes offered to donate $100 to the STRIKE! fundraising campaign on condition that we publish his FISH leaflet. We, of course, declined.

CHRIS COOPER: The first issue of Ideas & Action out of San Francisco had two articles on the ACF by former members. A piece I wrote some time ago "The Late Great ACF" should be published in the March issue of STRIKE! Originally scheduled for the October/82 issue it was referred to the STRIKE! Editorial Advisory Board after several objections to running it (too long, too esoteric, who cares? etc.). Also, although the Toronto/Southern Ontario Anarchists did too much of the work on STRIKE! it was never "about entirely" our work

DIRECT ACTION: See the latest Open Road for full coverage. Some extra details: the people involved have denied that they are Anarchists and perhaps can best be descibed as springing from the millieu that styles itself "anti-authoritarian." They plan to plead innocent -- no court-room theatrics. The police case so far is based largely on telephone taps, room bugs (bedrooms etc.) and other forms of long distance audio surveillance. The most potentially damaging evidence apparently comes from the room bugs. The individuals charged were under "partial" surveillance from Nov. 1 (i.e. at the time of the Red Hot Video fire bombings) and 24-hour surveillance from Dec. 1. There are persistent rumours that full surveillance was actually in place well before the Dec. 1 date given by the police leading to some interesting speculation. There's been massive police harrassment of the defense group in Vancouver. Many people who attended the first meeting of the defense group later had their homes raided and in at least one case incriminating evidence (fake ID papers) were planted at a person's house. On February 21, when the prosecution presented it's case there were demos in Vancouver (over 200 people in front of the courthouse), in Montreal (over 100 people in front of the offices of the Montreal Gazette), and in Toronto (about 30 people in front of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation's office) to protest the sleazy sensationalistic reporting of the affair. Defense groups are being formed across Canada to counter the police harrassment of Anarchists, anti-militarists and pacifists in the wake of Direct Action's activities and to undertake damage control. The group Direct Action, and the individuals presently charged with some of their actions, might not call themselves Anarchists but there's no doubt about who's going to get the most heat.

Till Next Time
Steve Ellams

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Hello,

Thanks for mention in Bulletin #80. Here's an update. We have now printed a 16 pg catalogue of Anarchist literature we will be distributing via our new mail order project service "Circle-A-Books". This is one means we plan to use to continue distribution of @ materials once we are evicted & in case we are unable to relocate. We list around 175 titles and several dozen @ peridiocals. Catalogue is available from Bound Together Books, 1901 Hayes, San Francisco, CA 94117. Send us 2 20¢ stamps & we'll send you the catalogue. Also available now: International Blacklist, 144 pages. an international directory of anti-state, anti-capitalist, anti-authoritarian groups. $2.50 plus 50¢ postage.

Our best, B.T.B.

SOMEWHERE, AMERICA

Mom, Dad, Sis, Bro,

#80 was impressive; the caliber of debate seemed far above that of #79. Probably it's my digestion, or maybe all the alcohol...Mr. Milnes, care to comment? I'm sad to see Ginger announcing plans to leave, and hope that #80 served to allay her fears about Stewart continuing to stain the Srafbull...

A Tactics Note. For my own part, I'm enamored of tax resistance as an effective method of non-violently aiding the cause. Little seems to me to be so logical as withdrawing all support; for whatever reason. Three years ago I saw some thing about Marcos...and since I'm doomed already I might as well hint that I haven't made a habit of filing since. In addition, I claim 99 deductions and no federal money (but for SS) is taken out of my laughably low-numbered paycheck. Larry Dodge, the Montana Libertarian Party candidate for Senate last year, went all over the state telling people that if they didn't want MX missiles the best thing they could do was to quit paying their taxes so that they could build it. Sensible. I might add that the "Libertarian" (forgot the quotes last time) Party is planning to hold demonstrations all around the country on the fifteenth of April, advocating non-filing, resistance, and so on. An anarchist presence might do something to keep the sensibility from being co-opted by our political friends... 'SRAF says: DON'T FILE FOR NUCLEAR FIRE." How about it? You know, alot of people who are miserable out there feel very good when they meet someone who doesn't think it is wrong to be bummed out about the State. Of course, there are those who apparently think that the welfare state apparatus should be kept intact, at least for the nonce...for as long as I can remember, I haven't seen anything that indicated the possibility of a welfare/warfare state separation. The original ruling class that built its empire with weaponry will always expand the role of its apparatus to include charity, insurance, and transfer payment administrations. Why? To co-opt the burgeoning opposition into its ranks. "Well, we'll give them jobs," they say to themselves. "Then they won't try to really supercede us. And we get the added benefit of buying off the discontent." Enough on this for now.

Tracy, you put your finger on Mr. Milnes eloquently. The Koehnlein/Picher missive on vision-for-revolution was well taken. As for my own position on violence, I don't think bombings are ever useful. But to surprise and consternate you all, I'll quote William F. Buckley on another sensitive issue and announce my endorsement of his position prior to the words. Bill says, "If you ask me, 'Do you believe in assassination?' I would say no. But if you were to ask, 'Do you believe that assassination is the worst crime?' I would say no. 'Well, what's worse?' A world war is worse. 'Do you believe therefore that there ought to be laws against assassination?' Yes. 'Does that mean you think there ought to be no assassinations?' No." Take, for example, Hitler. I think there are few among the world's

utopians who would think that a well-placed bullet might not have been for the best. However, I have no plans to engage in such activity, especially since I have made a habit of announcing my (Bill's) position on this issue. I especially don't think that anyone who has ever had contact with such a group as SRAF should plan to engage in such activities, and that we are wise to leave that stuff in the hands of the nameless and professional who will not be caught and who will also not sully the name of a positive theory of interaction. OK? There: my position. In an (ahem) nutshell.

I think a re-drafting of the SRAF statement is a good idea. I read the Anarchist Cookbook once and thought it naive. I heard from a marxist friend of a friend that it was part of a course being given to prison guards in Missouri. Yes, you guessed correct: my marxist friend of a friend was training to be a prison guard. The first time he had seen any other "anarchist" publications was in my room, which prompted him to mention the recipe manual.

I want to talk about my own activities (& lack of them & why) in this area in connection with a comment on Pat Murtagh's observations about groups and their gyrations. I think I will leave it for the next issue. I've gone over the space limit.

Name Withheld by request, 5th Amendment and so on.
(Not that this will likely deter the enterprising or observant...but They do have a habit of stupidity that I can place a few bets on...)

FREE THE FIVE

Julie Belmas Gerry Hannah

Ann Hansen Doug Stewart Brent Taylor

MEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTES

Abuse Authority ~~/~~ First (ask questions later) -- from Sean Haugh, 14 Edison Ave., Medford, Mass., 02155; Smiling Mirror #37. All matter under this heading is my own thought (unless said different), and welcomes any comment or disputation.

Direct Action is a fascinating subject, and your perspectives (Bull #80) have been most enlightening. I support the aims of the Litton bombing, particularly, and feel I could support them very strongly if absolutely no one was injured in future (?) incidents. As I understand it, the police are also indirectly responsible for the seven hurt. I personally condone any destruction of materials or plants directly associated with the nuclear arms race, which is an exception to the nonviolent principles I would aspire to. But the fear of immanent nuclear annihilation scares me enough to rise above principle. Those of you who explained your rejection of violence last issue made me see that in the long run, nonviolent means are the surest way to social change with popular support. Yet I am skeptical about whether or not we have a long run to work with these days.

I caution everyone very seriously about making decisions excluding "insane" people from anarchist groups. I myself would be hesitant to make such a judgement. I have recently read some of Joffre Stewart's material, and I agree that it is some of the most odious smegma I have ever seen in print. However, upon identifying it as such, I kept turning the pages until there was a new contribution. It should be simple to ignore people like this, considering them lone fools who will never influence you. Openly attacking such folks only encourages them to be more offensive -- silence may better cause them to lose interest. Then we wouldn't have to resort to censorship. As for his alleged insanity, all I can say is that maybe someday a group you (or I) are in will judge you (or me) insane. I personally would not want my insanity held against me, since I think I can function well with or without it, and I imagine most of us are in the same boat.

Karen: I don't agree with the Wimmin's Fire Brigade. Pornography is not the same kind of threat as nuclear arms, although it is definitely a problem. I'm too hardcore for free speech to condone such actions. We must combat the ill effects of porn through convincing people to volutarily reject it -- forceful means will never succeed.

Horse & Goat: Please stay -- you sound interesting and worthwhile.

Bill & Claire: I too fear that anarchy may be a passing fad for many kids. This year anarchists, next year communists, and for the rest of their lives democrats.

Uke: Howdy Eris-lover! I heartily agree that heated debate is preferable over "mutual admiration societies".

Brian: Great! Someone else who understands the profound mystical experience of washing dishes!

Bob Black: Thanks muchly for the term "left-confusionist".

Spider: OK, here I am!

Wild Wayne: Excellent! I couldn't have said it any better myself.

generally: I have a feeling some folks have a much looser definition of "fascism" than I do. Often when such a word is overused, it can lose its meaning, and elicit only a kneejerk reaction.

What about the farmers? Half of the ones that were left went bankrupt this last year (no joke). They can't keep up with land payments, interest charges, production costs, storage, transportation -- not to mention their own food and shelter. Yet very very few of them realize that the problems run extremely deep, with the govt. and the banks; what cognitive dissonance! Many have turned to growing pot, because it's the only crop that makes big bucks anymore, it seems. What is to be done?

Anyone interested in similar, though lighter, interactive publications are welcome to inquire about FreFanZine by contacting me. I'll spare y'all the details for now.

Yours in Struggle --

Sean

COLUMBIA, MISSOURI

Hello gang, We published our Winter 1983 issue of Anarchy: A Journal of Desire Armed in late February. We've distributed 1500 locally, and are mailing out about 400. As soon as we can get $80 together we will mail these in a bulk mailing with the local punk mag, Misery (PO Box 7461, Columbia, MO. 65201.) Send Anarchist League 40¢ postage for Anarchy. It includes: "Punk Anarchfesto", "Censored Blurbs", "Workin' 'N KOPN", and "The Cripple and the Man". Our paper is intended primarily for distribution in Columbia, but we will accept subs at $3/6#s. Just because we're using Misery's bulk mailing permit doesn't mean we agree with everything in their mag.

Local response to Anarchy has been overwhelmingly positive, except for Phil Costello who owns a local music bar. He didn't take kindly to our suggestion that gigs should be open to underagers whether they have money or not.

Most of the public writing I've done in the past six years has been self-published and locally distributed. I prefer this because my readers are people I interact with in my everyday life, and I'm more able to gauge the practical import of my writing. An exception to this has been my letters to this bulletin, which I hope have served the functions of sharing experiences and information, and of offering and receiving criticism with others who share the same general goals. Unfortunately, it is difficult for many people to accept pointed criticism. It's been really distressing to get the kinds of responses I've gotten in the bulletin. It is impossible to carry on a dialogue with others if their response to what you say is to distort what you say, to say that you really don't mean what you say, or to make up ideas and attribute them to you. It is worse when people try to lend credence to this form of response by being snide (cf. Murtagh).

So the only response I have to the letters in #80 is to ask Chris Cooper why he thinks it is silly to compare the graphic quality of anarchist publications. The reason I do so is that I want our efforts to be interesting to a wider audience. The only continental anarchist papers that look good enough to serve this purpose are Overthrow and Open Road. Processed World is also wonderfully put together, though its appeal is to a more select group. Of course, the content of these and every anarchist paper(s) needs criticism. But this criticism should be tempered by the knowledge that there are more than one way to create a world where no one wants to skin a cat. I'm seeking to develop and extend a loving and strong community among some of the people in this town. I hope others will find ways to do the same in their own communities. And if we quarrel, let's not forget that we do share a common project of liberation, and that means we need to find ways to nurture and be nurtured

badguy

SASKATOON, SASKETCHEWAN

Dear Comrades,

I would like to pass word on that I have just finished production of the latest pamphlet in my anarchism and peace series. Herbert Read's 'The Prerequisite of Peace' (16 pp, 60¢ per copy) is now available. The 40% discount on bulk orders also applies for this pamphlet.

As to other matters: I give thanks to blessed St. Jude, the patron saint of the impossible, for your decision to 'finally' purge Joffre. It is long past time. I hope that BA and others like him will consider reestablishing contact with you in light of your new found backbone. I, for one, am sick and tired of seeing the movement I have spent over a decade in continue to be the garbage can of society. Your decision to submit the matter to majority vote shows a maturity that I honestly didn't think was present. I apologize for my lack of faith. Exclusion and, yes "purging", is very much part of the anarchist right to resign from any group with which one is not in agreement. The demand to print each and every piece of craziness is adolescent liberalism, not anarchism. There are definite grounds for refusing to publish a piece: insanity, utter illiteracy and total moral reprehensibility. Irreconsilable political differences can also be a factor at times. There is a line beyond which I don't think anyone is obligated to tolerate nonsense. In the case of the SRAF Bulletin this line should obviously be more liberal than it would be in the public outreach publication of a well organized federation. Yet, Joffre has definitely passed all reasonable bounds. He is not even amusing anymore (the fellow who wants a supreme court order for everybody to have better orgasms is still funny).

I am glad to see that the mail on the Direct Action bombings is running pretty much against this sort of foolishness. Yes, Comrade Cooper I know full well the danger of saying the word "agent". Anybody familiar with the history of any radical movement realizes them. But, and it is a big but, the dangers of refusing to recognize what sort of behavior patterns agents exhibit is far greater. Up to this point the anarchist movement has hardly been worth the expense of paying infiltrators or informers. This, however, is changing, as Gimger Katz has put so well in her letter. As we become more effective we can expect the state to spend proportionately more time attempting to disrupt us. I, for one, do not want to play in a game in which I can get killed with a bunch of lunatic brats whose main goal in life is to prove themselves. Yes, Friends of Liberty, let's get "serious about revolutionary opposition to the state". If you haven't got enough sense to realize what year it is and what continent you're on, at least have enough intelligence not to deliberately call harassment down on yourselves by shooting off your mouth in print. That is posturing, not serious "revolutionary opposition".

I also have my doubts about those who are too liberal to help clamp the strongest possible controls on this sort of behavior. In a more delicat situation many, many lives could depend on keeping the maniacs in line. That is mercifully not our present situation. We have the luxury of debati the whys and wherefores now, but that may not always be the case. Even now I would like everybody to consider the case of the 20 year old among those arrested in BC. There are a lot of young, inexperienced, kids out there ju waiting to have their lives wrecked by being sent to prison for a long, lo time. That, not some "revolutionary challenge to the state",will be the lasting legacy of Direct Action.

As to the facts of DA's case, there is a lot I would like to say but cannot for fear of jeapardizing their trial. A few facts, however. There was no agent within the group itself, so I was wrong about that. The evidence that has become public so far, however, has convinced me even more about the presence of informers within that wing of the anarchist movement that DA travels in. The five people arrested, who are not necessa

guilty of everything they have been charged with, were singled out from a very well prepared list of 25 people connected with the 'Friends of Durutti', a group that has been publically advertising their "glory to the bombers" journal for some time now. Now I know these people are stup or they wouldn't be playing the game they are, but nobody can tell me th that list was prepared by "normal police work without informers". The Toronto police, in fact, admitted attempting (they didn't say how well t succeeded) to infiltrate anarchist groups in one of their statements to Toronto Globe and Mail. The police also admitted having the five DA peop under a stake-out at the time when one of the offences for which they ar being blamed, the firebombing of Red Hot Video, took place. Why they all them to go ahead with it has been a question asked in several media repo I ask the same question, and I also ask how much there is that hasn't co out yet. By the time this letter sees print there will undoubtably be a of new developments.

Dear Karen, not withstanding all of the above, I am of the opinion th the Red Hot Video firebombing, besides being effective while DA's other actions were not, was morally justified if, and only if, the tapes being sold at the store were such as to cause reasonable suspicion that they m have been made with unwilling participation. If such was the case Red Ho Video has obviously forfeited all moral right to protection from any dec individual. Not being familiar with the tapes in question I cannot prono for certain on the matter; I am generally repelled by most of what I see the "feminist" anti-pornography crusade, but it may be that the attack i question was fully justified. The question is not the morality of violen in an abstract sense. It is the appropriate level of response and the ac results of an action.

In Solidarity,
Pat Murtagh

CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY

Fellow SRAFeds,

This letter may go over your limits on length, but I ask you to print it anyway. I'm sending a self-addressed 9xI2 manila envelope inside a IOxI3 and a $25 donation. Please send us a copy of each SRAFlet.

My reply to Karen would be that I see no difference between videotape burning and bookburning. This is in fact vigilantist censorship. Speaking of which, I was rather disappointed to see SRAF censor Joffre Stewart. Which of us is next? The Athens anarchists said it well. FE's quoted position is not unassailable. For one thing, FE is a newspaper, not a correspondence bulletin or info network like SRAFbull or NAAN. Also FE as well as STRIKE!, OT, OR, FOUNDATion and others refused to print my "Practical Social Hygiene" which did manage to get out via NAAN and paraphrasing in SRAFbull. I've read his material. About half of it involves the Israel/Palestine conflict.Ido not think it is anti-Semitic. Even if it is, so what? Who among us is perfect or tows the Party line without variance? Maybe more about these 2 issues later.

I prefer to use this"limited and valuable" letter-space to further explain Social Hygiene for the benefit of those like Chris Cooper who are "willing to read more" but " can't get enthusiastic about quarrantines" and defend it from sincere but misdirected criticism as epitomized in Tracy Harms' letter.

I, too, was not enthusiastic about quarrantine until I realized that nothing short of that is adequate to insulate youth from insidious repression. True, WR has been terribly misunderstood by some, but more often understood well enough. I did not write that I'm " in favor of cutting people off from drugs and alcohol by force" or that I "think people must be made to do what's best for them." I wrote that part of providing a life-affirmative melieu for youth would include that as well as other healthful practices i.e. health would be the premier criterion in decision-making.and practice.

As anarchists are we going to blindly reject everything around us e.g. laws, courts, borders or quarrantine perimeters, or are we going to carefully separate that which we need or want or can use from which that would not be the case? Most things, like psychotherapy for example, are a "mixed bag". That is they have both positive and negative aspects. Psychiatry has more legal power than medicine in individual cases. Social psychiatry has virtually no legal recognition at all. But fortunately there is great potential for it via the Supreme Court.

As far as "concentration camps", "forced indoctrination" and "brainwashing" is concerned, we've got plenty of that right now. I conceed that SHC's COULD POSSIBLY deteriorate into that, much as Bolshevism deteriorated into Stalinism and the Gulag. We can build in safeguards against such developments. Also, Tracy, my fellow anarchist fanatic, since youth care would be shared by the best and brightest anarchist and blue collar young adults, are you suggesting that the anarchists can't be trusted & would promptly become Kommandants rather than implement syndicalism and life-affirmation? Or are you implying that the anarchists are inadequate to the task of youth care and would surely fail resulting in deterioration?

Finally, let's not be discouraged by the enormity of the task facing us, or be paralyzed by apprehension and/or indecision. Remember that even as we snipe and type at each other there are many children right now dying of starvation or disease, surrounded by the buzzing of flies and vultures and the stench of death to whom SHC intervention would mean the difference between life and death compared to merely degree of health and happiness. Reich wrote "The great problem of life... is a psychiatric one". I submit that a psychiatric problem requires a psychiatric solution. Social Hygiene is the way out of the aweful mess we're all in. Let's take it before the nukes go off. We need to get the Foundation incorporated in N.Y. state. So if you are an anarchist, familiar with and in substantial agreement with WR and Social Hygiene, contact us for Board of Directors membership. Also, we could use the assistance of The Wilhelm Reich Infant Trust Fund. Write: Miss Mary Boyd Higgins, Trustee, The WRITF, 382 Burns St., Forest Hills, New York 11375.

After incorporation, the next step should be a prototype SHC in S. Texas. Volunteers, anyone?

Sincerely,

Bob Milnes

(signed) Bob Milnes



MONTREAL, QUEBEC

ANARCHISM: practice and and theorics

Some anarchists think that by reading a couple of pages of their anarchist mentor/guru be it Bakunin,Kropotkin,Stirner or others,that they are thus the only and true Ⓐ that can understand these prophets and hence beware unwary masses...The truth is about to descend on YOU.Comical? No,alas, tragically true.

First,the Ⓐ Classics,Proudhon,Louise Michel, Malatesta et al...were only humans.Unfortunately this has been forgotten by 1) these Anarks themselves:they were not without their flaws...in their autobiography,when there is one,this is not mention.Who would write the mémoires of a fink? Not them or others(biographers). 2)-Most of the Ⓐ were too busy doing Anarchy as to write down every details;many of them were not scholars;also many did not see the utility of compiling 'notes' for future generations. 3) - Ⓐ history in its majority or totality has still to be done for it has been neglected by Historians-as an example look in any source-book about political trends at the ANARCHY label-5 to 10 works at most per year are mentionned; then under marxism,you will see tens of pages so.....and Historians are human,they also have their flaws,even anarchist historians.

Who knows that Karl Marx was a poison pen,an old stuffed goat(according to Engels),who took credit for material not of his doing,etc... that Bakunin slept with his sister ...that E. G Goldman had a volcanic and bad temper,Bergman was an homosexual,that E. Armand,the father of anarcho-individualism in France although preaching vs jealously was very jealous himself... he also lived and slept with two women who were sisters(twins?)...Nestor Makhno was a drunkard and libertin(woman's chaser)....more trivia matters could no doubt be dugged for other well-known anarchists....

Now all of the above facts are not what you might call 'sainthood'...yet most of the classical anarchists preached for a paradise on Earth through anarchist ideas...Inconsequential? NO.

For the classical anarchists always said that their ideas were not definitive,subjected ... They recognised that it would take time to change the human nature of man to a real anarchist man even in a completely anarchist society. The most optimistic talked of about a span of a couple of a thousand of years under an anarchist society.

Henceforth the problems facing Anarchy today is to grasp reality and try to set up likewise examples of what an anarchist society could be- ...but what we do is subjected to the society in which we live in so it will never be up to the Anarchist ideals...We simply cannot achieve it.But we can do our BEST. And in doing so,if we retain our human qualities and recognize our human defeciencies, then a small step in the direction of anarchy will have been done.

Yours,R. Yves Breton.....

COMMENTS ON SOME SRAPS LETTERS- issue #80=

-Regarding the decision to censure Joffre Stewart, well I must side with the A Gallery letter- this is not an anarchistic behavior.Censorship is what it is ,no more,no less.One way to circumvene this problem is to :

1- do nothing and let the discussions drag on... Apparently this is not satisfactory...

2- Or to limit one's contribution a) a number of times or b) on another subject - this is done by Freedom Press and other anarchist zines....so...

3- recognize that ,yes there is a jewish problem...in the anarchist ranks...I have been involved in various peace activities and Jews tend to pass over the Palestinian problem...this will be resolved first by Jews amongst themselves...as if a Jew who is an anarchist can be part of the Israeli army or do military service or go and give his time(or some) to the Israeli government as some Jews are doing...

-Letters from Bruce Allen as well as the one from Steve Ellams- both are members of the collective of STRIKE newspaper- I wish people would give their credentials when they write...this is important...

- Direct Actions misdeeds: funny but those that were reluctant to start a support committee for Direct Action are now those propagating such committees... their leaflet is pure fantasy-yet up till now the people accused of being members of DA HAVE SAID THAT THEY ARE NOT ANARCHISTS-they have not issued any statements to that effect- their latest rantings are similar to the rhetoric of the Red Brigades... surely no anarchists there....

LAST but not least my credentials: editor of the ex-Civil Disobedience:it folded because the pacifists could not stand the anarchism in it,the christians the non-religious stances and the anarchists the pacifists ideas...I also put out TO APEIRON- journal of pagan anarchists(issue #1 out of print); issue #2 due for May Day; put out a new publication called BLUFF,a journal of anarchy,minorities and of conceit and a new serie of pamphlets under the name Civil Disobedience- for more info write to me at: R. Yves Breton,C.P. 95 STN ,PLACE D'ARMES, Montréal,Qué.H2Y 3E9,Canada.....

TORONTO, ONTARIO

Dear Fellows,

Hello there chaps. Pleased to see that Joffre Stewart no longer is filling up your pages. I hope that people who haven't been involved with CNAP because of him will now get involved.

Toronto anarchists remain pretty scattered though the coalition around the Vancouver 5 is breaking some of our divisions down. Active groups and projects right now include : Kick It Over newsmag, [illegible] news mag., [illegible] prison abolition journal, Hope The Five Committee, Libertarian Aid to Latin American Workers group, the Anarchist P., and the [illegible].

Kick It Over is soliciting material for a booklet on [illegible], Otherside publishes poetry. c/o me.

Hope The Five Committee is the defence group for the Vancouver 5 and is a coalition of locally anarchist groups and individuals to defend them on three issues- the 'trial by media' that's happening, the right to be politically active without harassment, and the issues that made them targets for police attack- ecology, feminism, prison struggles, etc.

The Hope The Five Committee had a small demo outside of the C.I.C. offices to point out the 'trial by media' idea, with about forty people in attendance. There will undoubtedly be other events.

My main point in writing this letter is not to bring you up to date on Toronto affairs but to exchange information on the publishing of tiny anarchist newspapers. I imagine that many members of the CNAP are, or were, involved in publishing.

Kick It Over is a small anarchist newsmagazine. Although we're on our 6th issue, counting our 7th, the first four were punkzines done by two people and the collective only took form for issue 5. We are publishing quarterly, aiming for, and hope to get a second-class permit.

How; How do the collective publishing enterprises you are involved in function? Are there set tasks like, for example, sales co-ordinator, treasurer, records keeper, or do these functions fall upon the group as a whole? How large is the collective? How large is the core group?

Where does your money come from- how much from the collective, from sales, from subscriptions, from donations? Where do you sell the things (if you do)- bookstores, subs., hand-to-hand at demos, streetsales, bookstalls? What's your minimum? What's your circulation?

What content do you cover and why? How- by 'participant-observation', non-activity, the usual old press, interviews, ideological speculation?

Who writes, draws, photographs, typesets, prints, sells the things- the core collective alone, outsiders, both?

What are the goals of the newspaper- short term and long term?

Perhaps when I fill the bulletin with discussion of tiny presses please write Sam Wagan, Kick It Over, P.O. 5811, Sta. A, Toronto, Ontario, M.W.2A1, 467 1220. Publishing isn't the only thing I do, but it's sure one of the majour things so I want to do it right.

Is there such a thing as an Anarchist Press Service? Please trade with us and use our articles and ideas.

Yours,

Sam Wagan

SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

Dear SRAFolks,

Why did Direct Action release a communiqué of their identity to the public after their deed? The Paris anarchists who bombed the Soviet shop Nov. 1 did this too. Seems a generally foolish practice. Sabotage against company and govt. property, and throwing a wrench into the works sound like fun but have the damaging repercussions talked about in the last Bull. Can there be a guarantee that no one will be killed (a 4 AM bombing is no guarantee) and do the saboteurs have their shit together about building bombs? Those with such fire in their blood should never announce themselves, before or after the act, if they must use this outmoded tactic. Scoring a bullseye to stop company business, even if temporarily, is so difficult, owing to tight security in vital "nerve centers." As Gail Winds says in #80, a hit or a miss only brings down the heat on other innocent radical groups. Who hasn't, tho, in a romantic fantasy, wanted to take a White House tour, then break away to smash Nancy Raygun's china to the floor, or infiltrate the hidden-cameras-everywhere FBI bldg. to plant a bomb inside its monster computer that has adverse information on fifty million Americans. Dreaming, yes but sweet!

Karen of Vancouver raises her interesting puzzle. The women's groups are steaming about porno video tapes. The point is: do rape tapes really promote violent sex? The debasement women feel is understandable but there is abundant evidence that porn tapes of every kind rather relieve tension in the viewer so that he doesn't have to go out and do these things. That established, the Wimmin's Fire Brigade did what many (most?) puritan authoritarians would have done. Hitler cleaned up decadent Berlin and every Communist regime has virtually de-sexualized its uptight society. False pig Falwell might approve WFB's action, if his "morality" outweighs his concern for the welfare of small business outlets. I doubt it, due to his megalomania.

It's curious that Bruce Allen drops the Bulletin without explaining what it is in it that makes him "nauseous." I wouldn't let a "more-anarchist-than-thou" paper like Fifth Estate influence MY decision about anything, but I am glad FE put the Norman Mayer incident on their front page instead of dismissing the man as a nut and ignoring his bravado, tho loony action like most of the liberal peace and anti-nuke groups. The Rag had talked to Mayer last year in front of the (where else?) White House. He spoke logically and truthfully with a fanaticism too heavy for most folks, but his urgent mission carried Nechaevistic dedication. Most passersby couldn't deal with Norman's raw scary Truth.

Want to announce the new book Receptive to Fire, a 130-page epic poem by long-time activist "B" Wardlaw. Available for $5 a copy from Lone Wolf Collective, Box 53246 Wash., DC 20009.

Highly recommended: The Pop Group on Rough Trade--- English punk at its best.

The quarterly meeting of the Anarchist Association of the Americas will be held in Philadelphia on March 5 and 6 at the Community Education Center.

Well, there have been 24 Rags in the first half year of existence, almost one per week. Still & always free.

In Anarchy,

Paul Black Rag

WEST NYACK, NEW YORK

Revolutionary Anarchists,

We want to address any misconceptions from our letter in S.R.A.F.#80.

We don't believe in bombing our way to anarchy.Violence already exists and the State is its defender.When desperate people take action against the State,we should understand their motivations.

There is a difference in criticizing their actions or ideology and denouncing them to the State and capitalist press. Anarchists should never aid the State and press in their police actions.Anarchists may demand fair treatment from the State and press but they shouldn't expect it.

One shouldn't charge people of being agent provacateurs without definite proof.Anarchists can't forget Marx's charges against Bakunin!

We continue to be harassed by our local police,which makes us wonder how local government is suppose to be better than federal or state governments.We also find the capitalist economy can only leave us alone if we want to starve.How will the State become irrelevant?It harasses us when we have no place to live because we can't pay rent.How do the peasants in Guatemala ignore the State there?We,who have only our labor to sell,find it hard to ignore the State.

Peace is possible when it is desired by both sides.What good is peace if it is like the peace of the Pax Romana?Do we need a peaceful tyranny?

Death TO The State,Long Live The People

Wild Wayne Wayne
for
Friends of Liberty

S. R. A. F. PAMPHLETS

The following SRAFlets are now available for free while the supply lasts. Send us a self addressed stamped envelope and tell us which you want. Bigger orders will require bigger envelope and more postage.

Malatesta: Property
Anarchistcommunist Program
Peace if you want it/ Smash violence
Anarchist Communism

Kropotkin: Revolutionary Government

The Spanish Triangle

The Spectacular Commodity Economy

Thoughts on the Thoughts of Mao

Cohn Bendit: "May Events"

3 on Discipline

Sexual Revolution (Reich)

The Failure of Punishment

IWW Introductory Pamphlet

Against the Zionist Left

ISRAC

May Days 1970

Zionism & Palestine

The Other Isreal

FREEDOM reprints: Makhno & Durutti
Zapata
Kropotkin

Anarchist bumper stickers

Bolsheviks and Workers Control (Brinton)

FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FEBRUARY 16-APRIL 5 1983

EXPENSES: $25 paper $8 plates $15 ink & staples $4 transport
$4 supplies $60.50 postage TOTAL $116.50

INCOME (Donations): $6 ONT $1 NY $1 NY $10 ATHENS $25 NJ
$2 MD $6 WI $1 NY $3 MA $1 SASK $4 CO
$22 CA $1 MI $1 PA $2 ONT $5 CA $10 MO
$5 MA TOTAL $106

Social Revolutionary Anarchist Federation

We in the SRAFederation are building mutual responsibilities toward one another on a basis of equality and voluntarism. All who join us in federation communicate to each other ideas, information, feelings, analysis, criticism and encouragement when we feel it will be of help.

SRAFederation Bulletin pages are open to SRAF members and other persons wishing to communicate with anarchists. SRAFederation Bulletin policy is to print any letter that is not a multiple copy. The production group reserves the right to cut letters that are more than one typewritten page in length. Letters for the Bulletin should be typed within a six inch wide column. A donation is helpful for letters intended for publication.

Dues for SRAFederation groups and subscriptions to the Bulletin are by voluntary donation. Suggested rates: $15 dues, $5 year subscription. SRAFederation membership buttons are available for $1 for one, 50¢ each for five or more. U.S. OUT buttons are also available at the same rates. All button sale proceeds go toward the publication of the Bulletin.

SRAFederation Local Groups

CAL POB 380 Columbia MO 65205

LEAF POB 18488 Denver CO 80218

SRAF/DC POB 21071 DC 20009

RAAG 1261 La Vista Rd G-3 Atlanta GA 30324

CANR POB 90312 San Diego CA 92109

SMAC POB 166 St Mary's City, MD 20686

RASCAL 436 Penn Ave Morgantown WV 26505

RESURGENCE POB 2824 Sta A Champaign IL 61820

ANARCHO-CYNICALISTS 2000 Center St #1314 Berkeley CA 94704

MINI TRUE 530 N Main #15 Sheridan WY 82801

SOUTHERN AGITATOR POB 52467 New Orleans LA 70152

FRIENDS OF LIBERTY POB 57 West Nyack NY 10994

MID HUDSON ASSOCIATES POB 310 Rosendale NY 12472

IMPOSSIBLE BOOKS Box 172 3952 N Southport Chicago IL 60613

REICH STUDY GROUP 3169 Colorado Ave Camden NJ 08104

BLACK RAG 8611 Piney Branch Rd #103 Silver Spring MD 20901

HORSE & GOAT 69 W 105th St #1A NY NY 10025

26 BLACKBIRDS 323 Fourth St Cloquet MN 55720

BLACKTHORN THEATER Art Institute 506 W Michigan Duluth MN 55802

CORNILUS CABAL: Gunderson 41 Lawrence St Medford MA 02155

LEFT BANK BOOKS 92 Pike St Seattle WA 98101